# LEGACY CHARTER SCHOOL 2018 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

- 1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
- 2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
- 3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework clearly sets forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the PCSC's evaluations of the school. It contains indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency, student academic growth, post-secondary readiness (for high schools), and board performance and stewardship.

In accordance with Idaho law, the performance framework requires, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. It is designed to fulfill this requirement while respecting the diverse missions and student populations represented in PCSC portfolio schools. This performance framework was adopted by the Idaho Public Charter School Commission on May 4th, 2017.

To facilitate a clear context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership information provided is from the school year during which the data was gathered. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

The data provided in this report was gathered primarily through the State Board of Education and State Department of Education. An independent financial audit and any applicable mission-specific data were submitted directly by the school. The school had a opportunity to correct or clarify its framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining a full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

MANCE FRAI	V/I=IV/V/AIDI/A	
MANNE 24		21 24 01 01 24 5

The academic section comprises the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions are based. The mission-specific, operational, and financial sections contribute additional indicators that are, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, considered secondary.

Academic	The academic section focuses on quantitative academic outcomes. It reflects the PCSC's commitments to considering schools' performance in the context of their communities and student populations. Although some results may not be made publically available in certain cases, in order to protect individually identifiable student information, the PCSC may still use this information for purposes of making authorizing decisions.
Mission-Specific	The mission-specific section provides an opportunity for meaningful acknowledgement of schools' achievements that are not reflected elsewhere in the framework. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. Mission-specific measures are generally optional; however, inclusion of certain mission-specific measures may be required as a condition of the performance certificate.
Operational	The operational section considers whether schools are operating in compliance with federal and state law, authorizer requirements, and the provisions of their performance certificates.
Financial	The financial section evaluates the near-term and long-term financial status of the school. Schools with management contracts containing deficit protection clauses may be exempted from these indicators.

### **ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATIONS**

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school determines that school's accountability designation in each section. The accountability designations, in turn, guide authorizing decisions. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designations when making authorizing decisions.

Honor	Schools achieving at this level in all sections are guaranteed renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.
Good Standing	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered.
Remediation	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are poor.  Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.
Critical	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals will not be considered.

	SCHOOL OVER	/IEW						
	To develop students who are competent, confident, productive and responsible young							
Mission Statement	adults who possess the habits, skills and attitudes to succeed in high school and to be							
Mission Statement	offered the invitation of a post-secondary education, satisfying employment, and life-long							
	opportunities.							
	Teach to the high, and through:	strict adherence to the	Harbor School Method, use the					
	Method's educational approach, curricula, and tools to provide multiple opportunities for							
	mastering concepts, and to excee	d State benchmarks in	required academic testing.					
	Ensure instructional fidelity acro	oss grade levels with co	re instructional methodology					
	being consistent among teachers	and grades, ensuring tl	nat students learn the instructional					
	routines in early grades which acc	celerates their ability to	focus on new information, skill					
	development and thinking skills.							
	• In addition to emphasizing the traditional core curriculum areas of language arts, math,							
	science, social studies, incorporate the Harbor Method's educational features of providing							
	self-contained 7th & 8th grade classes, the study of a foreign language beginning in at							
Key Design Elements	least the 3rd grade, and participation in music, PE and computer classes.							
	Provide a School-to-Work experience that, in addition to the school's academic program,							
	purposefully trains students to demonstrate "Attitude and Effort," along with the							
	characteristics of enthusiasm, efficiency and excellence in the work they do.							
	Remove fear, threat and intimidation from the learning environment by implementing							
	and carrying out the Harbor Method's character education program that emphasizes							
	kindness and a zero tolerance policy for teasing, taunting, bullying, and negative peer							
	pressure. The character education program will also emphasize and require adults in the							
	school to model the expectations and behaviors for character required of students.							
	Hold the school's principal(s) directly accountable for the environment in which teachers							
	teach and students learn.							
School Location	4015 S Legacy Way	School Phone	208-467-0947					
	Nampa, ID 83686	School I hone	200 407 0347					
Surrounding District	Nampa School District							
Opening Year	2011							
Current Term	July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2023							
Grades Served	K-8							
Enrollment (Approved)	325	Enrollment (Actual)	300					

SCHOOL LEADERSHIP						
Bart McKnight	Chair					
Sheila Bryant	Vice Chair					
Emily Criddle	Secretary / Treasurer					
Danny Bower	Member					
Niki Crow	Clerk of board					
Seth Stallcop	Administrator					

STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS									
School State Surrounding Neighboring									
Non-White	14%	25%	42%	N/A					
Limited English Proficiency	1%	6%	11%	N/A					
Special Needs	8%	10%	11%	N/A					
Free and Reduced Lunch	37%	47%	63%	N/A					

ISAT PROFICIENCY RATES	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	65%
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	71%
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	68%

GO-ON RATE (Post-secondary enrollment within 12 months of graduation)	N/A

ACADEMIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	Points Possible	Points Earned	Points Possible	Points Earned	Points Possible	Points Earned
		K-8	K-8	9-12	9-12	K-12	K-12	Alternative	Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	<b>1</b> a	50		50	0	50	0		
	1b	50		50	0	50	0		
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50		50	0	50	0	50	0
	2b	50		50	0	50	0	50	0
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100				50	0		
	3b	100				50	0		
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100	0	50	0	50	0
	4b			100	0	50	0	50	0
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			125	0	125	0	100	0
Total Academic Points		400	333	525	0	525	0	300	0
% of Academic Points			83%		0%		0%		0%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0
% of Mission-Specific Points			

Legacy has chosen not to include mission-specific measures.

**FINANCIAL** 

Near-Term

Sustainability

Total Financial Points % of Financial Points

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points	Points	
OFERATIONAL	ivicasure	Possible	Earned	
Educational Program	<b>1</b> a	25	25	
	1b	25	25	
	<b>1</b> c	25	25	
	1d	25	25	
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	25	
	2b	25	25	
	2c	25	25	
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25	
	3b	25	25	
	3c	25	25	
	3d	25	25	
	3e	25	25	
	3f	25	25	
School Environment	4a	25	25	
	4b	25	25	
Additional Obligations	5a	25	25	
Total Operational Points		400	400	
% of Operational Points			100%	

The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.

Measure

1a

1b

1c

1d

2a

2b 2c Points

Earned

50

50

50

50

50

50

50

50

400

100%

**Points** 

Possible

50

50

50

50

50

50

50

50

400

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome	
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%		
Good Standing	55% - 74%	92%	83%	83% 0%	55% - 74%	NA	80% - 89%	100%	65% - 84%	100%
Remediation	31% - 54%	0370	070	31% - 54%	IVA	61% - 79%	10070	46% - 64%	10070	
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%		
School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.										

#### **ACADEMIC K-8**

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

	INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to State	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		50	
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.		15 - 29	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.		0 - 14	
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.			
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points	Points
		Result	Possible	Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate		Result		Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	Result	<b>Possible</b> 50	Earned
•		Result		Earned
, ·	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	Result	50	Earned
, ·	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.  Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	Result	50 30 - 45	Earned

	INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to District	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.		50	
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.		15 - 29	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.		0 - 14	
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Nampa School District will be used for comparison purposes.			
Measure 2b	Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate  Comparison to District	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.		50	
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.		15 - 29	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.		0 - 14	
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Nampa School District will be used for comparison purposes.			

Measure 3a	INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)  Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth Math	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.  Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.  Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.  Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25	
Notes		D !!	Points	Points
Measure 3b  Criterion-Referenced Growth	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Possible	Earned
ELA	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.  Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.  Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		0 23	

inces of n- liance ented	Points Possible	Points Earned
n- iance	25	25
n- iance	25	25
	15	
	0	
		25
ult	Points Possible	Points Earned
n- iance	25	25
	15	
	0	
	•	25
a or	sult ances of on- oliance mented	sult Points Possible  ances of on- oliance mented

Measure 1c	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Students with Disabilities				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes			•	25
Notes				
Measure 1d	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
English Language Learners				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
			•	25
Notes				

	INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT			
Measure 2a	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Financial Reporting and Compliance			1 0001010	Larrica
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	<b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	or and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the	15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
			Points	Points
Measure 2b	Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)	Result	Possible	Earned
GAAP				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	See note	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of noncompliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.  Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance		15	
	certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes	The school's audit notes that the school was compliant with GAAP, except for GASB Statement 75, which requires actuarial calculations performed for post-employment benefits other than pensions (OPEB). While the PCSC must note that the school was not entirely compliant with GAAP, points have not been deducted from this measure for failure to comply with GASB 75.			25
Measure 2c	Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Enrollment Variance	Meets Standard: Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.  Partially Meets Standard: Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.  Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.	96%	25 15 0	25
Notes	Enrollment variance is calculated by dividing actual mid-term enrollment by the enrollment projection in the school's board-approved budget, as submitted to the SDE at the beginning of the fiscal year.			25

	INDICATOR 3: GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING			
Measure 3a	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Governance Requirements				
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
			•	25
Notes				
Measure 3b	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Board Oversight	Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's	No instances of non-compliance	25	25
	board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book.  Partially Meets Standard: Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's policy book may be substantially complete but require additional maintenance.	documented	15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's board fails to practice consistent, effective oversight of the school, and/or documentation of competent oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's policy book may be incomplete, unmaintained, or non-existent.		0	
	oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's pointy book may be incomplete, difficultied, of non-existent.			
Notes	oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's policy book may be incomplete, difficultied, or non-existent.		•	25

Measure 3c	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Reporting Requirements				
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
			-	25
Notes				
Measure 3d	Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency				
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency. Any instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
			-	25

25 ce 25 ced 15	25
25 ce ed 15	
	25
0	25
	25
Points Possible	Points Earned
os of	
25 ce	25
15	
0	
	25
- and	Possible  acces of - ance nted  15

	INDICATOR 4: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT		Points	Points
Measure 4a	Is the school complying with transportation requirements?	Result	Possible	Earned
Transportation				
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> The school provides student transportation within its primary attendance area and materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or provides and incomplete form of transportation services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board; and/or the school does not provide transportation.		0	
Notes				25
Notes				
Measure 4b	Is the school complying with facilities requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance		15	
	certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS.		15	
			0	
Notes	governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS.  Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied,			25

	INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS			
Measure 5a	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations				
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes			•	25

	INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			
Measure 1a	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Current Ratio	Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.	9.31	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.		10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.		0	
				50
Notes				
Measure 1b	Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Cash Ratio	Meets Standard: Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).	8.8	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.		10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.		0	
				50
Notes				
Measure 1c	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Unrestricted Days Cash	Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.	329 days	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.		10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.		0	
				50
Notes				
Measure 1d	Default	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Default	Meets Standard: School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non-reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.	No default noted	50	50
	Does Not Meet: School is in default of financial obligations.		0	
				50
Notes				

	INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY			
Measure 2a	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Total Margin and Aggregated  3-Year Total Margin	Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.	See note	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".		30	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.		0	
				50
Notes	The Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.			
Measure 2b	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points	Points
Debt to Asset Ratio	best to Asset ladds. For a state of the Assets	nesun	Possible	Earned
	Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9.	0.67	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9. and 1.0		30	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0		0	
				50
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.			
Measure 2c	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Cash Flow	Meets Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.	See note	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"		30	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.		0	
				50
Notes	The Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and the Cash Flow is positive each year.			
Measure 2d	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	Marks Standard, Daht Sanisa Cayaraga Datia is equal to as aveads 1.1	2.53	F0	50
	Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1	2.55	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1		0	
				50
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.			

## Legacy Charter School Longitudinal Results

		Percentage of Points Earned						
ACADEMIC	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
State Proficiency Comparison	1a							
	1b							
District Proficiency Comparison	2a							
	2b							
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a							
	3b							
% of Possible Academic Points for this School		79%	83%					
			Percenta	Percentage of Points Earned				
OPERATIONAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Educational Program	1a -1d	N/A	100%					
Financial Management	2a - 2c	N/A	100%					
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	N/A	100%					
School Environment	4a - 4b	N/A	100%					
Additional Obligations	5a	N/A	100%					
% of Possible Operational Points								
for this School		N/A	100%					
			Percentage of Points Earned					
FINANCIAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Near-Term	1a - 1d	N/A	100%					
Sustainability	2a - 2d	N/A	100%					
% of Possible Financial Points for this School		N/A	100%					
ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	N	2016-17	2017-19	2019-10	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Academic		2016-17 Honor	2017-18 Honor	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Mission Specific		N/A	N/A	l				
Operational		N/A*	Honor					
Financial		N/A*	Honor					
· manada		14//1	1101101					

<sup>\*</sup>Operational and Financial data for 2016-17 was reported in a different format.